Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

MEPA Office



EnvironmentalNotification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
OEA No. 13644
OEA NO
MEPA Analys
hone: 617-626-
7030

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Fruitland's Museum						
Street: Prospect Hill Road						
Municipality: Harvard	Watershed: Nashua					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude:42° 30' 32.57"N					
	Longitude: 71° 38' 41.62" W					
Estimated commencement date: 11/05	Estimated completion date: 04/06					
Approximate cost: \$900,000	Status of project design: 100 %complete					
Proponent: Fruitlands Museum						
Street: Prospect Hill Road						
Municipality: Harvard	State: MA Zip Code: 01451					
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Douglas E. Miller						
Firm/Agency: Goldsmith, Prest and Ringwall,	Street: 39 Main Street, Suite 301					
Inc						
Municipality: Ayer	State: MA Zip Code: 01432					
Phone: 978.772.1590 Fax: 978	B.772.1591 E-mail:dmiller@gpr-inc.com					
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ☐ Yes ☑ No Has this project been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EOEA No. 13445 *) ☐ No *Note: Previous Submittal withdrawn Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EOEA No. 13445 *) ☐ No *Note: Previous Submittal withdrawn						
a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09) To a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	∕es ⊠No ∕es ⊠No					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer for the agency name and the amount of funding or la Schedule of Funding						
Are you requesting coordinated review with any o Yes (Specify: DEP, Harvard B						

List Local of Federal Permits and	d Approvais.	Order or	Conditions, i	22D2 Lethin			
Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):							
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Species ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ☐ Wastewater ☐ Transportation ☐ Air ☐ Solid & Hazardous Waste ☐ Regulations ☐ Historical & Archaeological Resources						
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &			
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals			
L	_AND			Order of Conditions			
Total site acreage	210.77±			Superseding Order of Conditions			
New acres of land altered		N/A		Chapter 91 License			
Acres of impervious area	2.4±	0	2.4±	☐ 401 Water Quality Certification			
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0					
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		N/A					
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		N/A		New Source Approval			
STRU	ICTURES			☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit			
Gross square footage	24,000±	0.	24,000±	☐ Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:			
Number of housing units	2	0	2				
Maximum height (in feet)	35±	0	35±	* Includes building sewers.			
TRANSF	PORTATION			forcemains, and water service			
Vehicle trips per day	800 maximum	0	800 maximum	connections to existing wells.			
Parking spaces	100±	0	100±	** Includes 3 forcemains in same			
WAST	EWATER			trench			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	8,000 maximum		8,000 maximum				
GPD water withdrawal	8,000		8,000				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	8,000 maximum		8,000 maximum				
Length of water/sewer mains* (in miles)	0.09	+ 0.21(sewer) + 1.01 (FM)** + 0.14(water)	1.45				

The disposal system includes a sanitary sewer line that collects on-site sewage in a central location. Following collection and the separation of solids, all effluent is treated by an innovative alternative treatment facility in order to clarify effluent and lessen its impact on the site. All effluent is then pumped to a suitable soil absorption area on-site. A number of official and unofficial soil tests were performed on the $210\pm$ acre site. Testing proved the field near the Knight Dudley House and Prospect

Hill Road is the most suitable area for soil absorption without affecting on site Historical and Archaeological resources and existing Rare Species and Vernal pool located along the southwestern edge of the property.

No new buildings, pavement or other impervious areas are proposed on site. All existing structures and archeological resources will remain undisturbed throughout construction. The construction on site will include the trenching for the sanitary sewer, installation of manholes, tanks and chambers, the forcemain which carries effluent from the collection area to the soil absorption area, and the absorption area. Following reestablishment of vegetation the area that will show the most noticeable change is the change in grade in the existing field over approximately ½ acre for the absorption area.

No on- or off-site alternatives to the improvements being proposed were identified as viable to those being proposed. The SSDS serving a facility must be on the same site as the facility being served.

c) No on- or off-site mitigation measures are necessary for the proposed project. The project does not increase impervious area on site and the proposed SSDS will increase treatment of on-site sewage prior to disposal. Temporary wetland buffer zone disturbance will be associated with the trenching of the forcemain connecting the Fruitlands building to the collection area to the absorption area. Disturbance to any buffer zone will be minimal.

LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section

I.

11.

Thresholds / Permits			
A. Does the project meet or exceed any review	thresholds relat	ed to land (se	e 301 CMR 11.03(1)
Yes _X_No; if yes, specify each threshold:			
Impacts and Permits			
A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed	character of th	e project site,	as follows:
	<u>Existing</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Total</u>
Footprint of buildings	24,000	0	24,000 SF
Roadways, parking, and other paved areas	<u>68,630 SF</u>	0	<u>68,630 SF</u>
Other altered areas (describe)			
Undeveloped areas	208.3 acres	0	208.3 acres
B. Has any part of the project site been in active Yes X No; if yes, how many acres of land converted to nonagricultural use?	l in agricultural (use (with agric	ultural soils) will be
C. Is any part of the project site currently or pro X Yes No; if yes, please describe curren whether any part of the site is the subject of a Di See attached Forestry Plan by Hugh Put	t and proposed ∃M-approved fo	forestry activition rest managent	ties and indicate nent plan:
D. Does any part of the project involve conversion accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to purpose not in accordance with Article 97?	o the Constitution	on of the Comi	ources purposes in monwealth to any
E. Is any part of the project site currently subject restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or if yes, does the project involve the release or moyes, describe:	watershed pres	servation restr	iction? <u>X</u> Yes <u> </u> No;
F. Does the project require approval of a new up in an existing urban redevelopment project unde	rban redevelopr r M.G.L.c.121A	nent project or ? Yes _>	r a fundamental change (_ No; if yes, describe:
4			